



# PCT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference B0433WO   | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) |                                 |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/FR2003/001254   | International filing date<br>18 avril 2003 (   |                                 | Priority date (day/month/year) 25 avril 2002 (25.04.2002)                     |  |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or n<br>C23C 16/40  | ational classification and   | IPC                             |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant CENTRE NA   | ATIONAL DE LA RI   | ECHERCHE SC                     | ENTIFIQUE   |  |  |  |
| This international preliminary exa<br>Authority and is transmitted to the a      This REPORT consists of a total of | applicant according to Art   | ticle 36.                       | International Preliminary Examining   |  |  |  |
| This report is also accompa   | nied by ANNEXES, i.e., so asis for this report and/or  | sheets of the descript          | ion, claims and/or drawings which have cifications made before this Authority |  |  |  |
| These annexes consist of a  | total ofsh   | heets.                          |   |  |  |  |
| 3. This report contains indications rela  | ting to the following item   | ns:                             |   |  |  |  |
| I Basis of the report   | ŧ  |                                 |   |  |  |  |
| II Priority   |  |                                 |   |  |  |  |
| III Non-establishmen  | t of opinion with regard t   | to novelty, inventive           | step and industrial applicability   |  |  |  |
| IV Lack of unity of in  | IV Lack of unity of invention  |                                 |   |  |  |  |
| V Reasoned stateme citations and expla  | nt under Article 35(2) wit<br>anations supporting such   | th regard to novelty, statement | inventive step or industrial applicability;                                   |  |  |  |
| VI Certain document   | s cited  |                                 |   |  |  |  |
| VII Certain defects in  | the international applicat   | ion                             |   |  |  |  |
| VIII Certain observation  | ons on the international ap  | pplication                      |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                 |   |  |  |  |
| Date of submission of the demand  |  | Date of completion              | of this report  |  |  |  |
| 22 novembre 2003 (22.11.2003)   |  | · · · 31 A                      | August 2004 (31.08.2004)  |  |  |  |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP   |  | Authorized officer              |   |  |  |  |
| Facsimile No.   |  | Telephone No.                   |   |  |  |  |

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR2003/001254

| I. Basis of the | e report                                     |   |  |
|-----------------|--|---|--|
| 1. This report  | t has been drawn of<br>le 14 are referred to | n the basis of (Replacement sheet, in this report as "originally filed" | s which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.): |
| $\boxtimes$     |  | application as originally filed.  |  |
| $\boxtimes$     | the description,                             | pages 1-10  |  |
|                 |  | pages   |  |
|                 |  |   | _, filed with the letter of,   |
|                 |  | pages   | _, filed with the letter of  |
| $\square$       | the claims,                                  | Nos1-9  | , as originally filed,   |
|                 | ·  |   | , as amended under Article 19,   |
|                 |  | Nos   |  |
|                 |  | Nos   | , filed with the letter of,  |
|                 |  | Nos.  | _ , filed with the letter of   |
|                 | the drawings,                                | sheets/fig 1/1  | as originally filed.   |
|                 | ano arammas,                                 | sheets/fig  | <del>-</del> '   |
|                 |  |   | , filed with the letter of,  |
|                 |  |   | , filed with the letter of   |
| 2. The amend    | lments have result                           | ed in the cancellation of:  |  |
|                 |  | pages   |  |
|                 | the claims,                                  | Nos   |  |
|                 | the drawings,                                | sheets/fig  |  |
|                 | me drawings,                                 | Sheets/fig  |  |
| 3. This         | report has been e                            | stablished as if (some of) the an                                       | nendments had not been made, since they have been considered   |
| to g            | o beyond the disci                           | osure as filed, as indicated in th                                      | e Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).   |
| 4. Additional   | observations, if n                           | ecessary:   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   |  |
|                 |  |   | •  |

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FR 03/01254

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 citations and explanations supporting such statement

| Statement                     |        |     |     |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| Novelty (N)                   | Claims | 1-8 | YES |
|                               | Claims | 9   | NO  |
| Inventive step (IS)           | Claims | 1-8 | YES |
|                               | Claims | 9   | NO  |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-9 | YES |
|                               | Claims |     | NO  |

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: MIURA S ET AL: "Structural and electrical properties of liquid phase epitaxially grown Y1Ba2Cu3Ox films" PHYSICA C, NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 278, no. 3-4, 1 May 1997 (1997-05-01), pages 201-206, XP004083486 ISSN: 0921-4534;
- D2: HOLLMANN E K ET AL: "The growth of thick Yba2Cu3O7-x films by DC magnetron sputtering" PHYSICA C, NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 338, no. 3, 15 August 2000 (2000-08-15), pages 246-250, XP004229152 ISSN: 0921-4534;
- D3: T C SHIELDS ET AL: "Spray pyrolysis of epitaxial YBCO films on (100) single crystal SrTiO3 substrates" SUPERCONDUCTOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, vol. 15, 18 December 2001 (2001-12-18) pages 99-103, XP002226907, IOP PUBLISHING, TECHNO HOUSE, BRISTOL, GB ISSN: 0953-2048.

### 1. Independent claim 9

The present application does not fulfil the

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 9 does not comply with the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

In claim 9, a product, i.e. a substrate coated with a  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  film, is defined by means of the production method therefor. As a result, said claim is not clear (PCT Article 6) because the properties of the resulting product are not specified. clear from the description that said YBa2Cu3O7-y films are several micrometers thick and have a critical current density of more than  $10^6 \; \text{A/cm}^2$  at Documents D1 (see figure 6) and D2 (see figure 6) disclose substrates coated with micrometer films that have the same composition and the same minimum critical current density value. It follows that, even though said coated substrates are not produced using the method as per any one of claims 1-8, documents D1 and D2 deprive the subject matter of claim 9 of novelty.

The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 9 does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3). Since said coated substrate is not novel, it cannot involve an inventive step.

### 2. Independent claim 1

Document D3, which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document) a method for preparing a micrometer

YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> film by means of a process of ultrasonic spray pyrolysis, optionally followed by an oxygen heat treatment step at 500°C. In said document, this leads to YBa2Cu3O7-v films with a critical current density of up to  $1.9 \times 10^5$  A/cm2 at 77k and a degree of oxidation that is probably lower ("y" is higher). It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that a precursor solution containing different concentrations of precursors is used and the heat treatment includes two steps as specified in the claim. The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of enhancing the supraconducting properties of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> films produced by means of ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. The solution to this problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is considered to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) because it is not suggested in the prior art.

Unlike the methods proposed in D1 and D2, the method as per claim 1 can be implemented continuously.

Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore also fulfil, as such, the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.

The claimed invention is industrially applicable in the electronics industry.